arXiv:math/0508415v1 [math.AC] 22 Aug 2005

Three Mutually Adjacent Leonard Pairs

Brian Hartwig *

Abstract

Let $\mathbb{K}$ denote a field of characteristic 0 and let V denote a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ with positive finite dimension. Consider an ordered pair of linear transformations $A : V \to V$ and $A^* : V \to V$ that satisfies both conditions below:

(i) There exists a basis for V with respect to which the matrix representing A is diagonal and the matrix representing A^* is irreducible tridiagonal.

(ii) There exists a basis for V with respect to which the matrix representing A^* is diagonal and the matrix representing A is irreducible tridiagonal.

We call such a pair a *Leonard pair* on V. Let (A, A^*) denote a Leonard pair on V. A basis for V is said to be *standard* for (A, A^*) whenever it satisfies (i) or (ii) above. A basis for V is said to be *split* for (A, A^*) whenever with respect to this basis the matrix representing one of A, A^* is lower bidiagonal and the matrix representing the other is upper bidiagonal. Let (A, A^*) and (B, B^*) denote Leonard pairs on V. We say these pairs are *adjacent* whenever each basis for V which is standard for (A, A^*) (resp. (B, B^*)) is split for (B, B^*) (resp. (A, A^*)). Our main results are as follows.

Theorem 1 *There exist at most* 3 *mutually adjacent Leonard pairs on* V *provided the dimension of* V *is at least* 2.

Theorem 2 *Let* (A, A^*), (B, B^*), *and* (C, C^*) *denote three mutually adjacent Leonard pairs on* V. *Then for each of these pairs, the eigenvalue sequence and dual eigenvalue sequence are in arithmetic progression.*

Theorem 3 *Let* (A, A^*) *denote a Leonard pair on* V *whose eigenvalue sequence and dual eigenvalue sequence are in arithmetic progression. Then there exist Leonard pairs* (B, B^*) *and* (C, C^*) *on* V *such that* (A, A^*), (B, B^*), *and* (C, C^*) *are mutually adjacent.*

1 Leonard Pairs

Throughout the paper, $\mathbb{K}$ will denote a field of characteristic 0 and V will denote a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ with positive finite dimension.

We begin by recalling the notion of a Leonard pair [3],[4],[5],[7],[8],[9],[10],[11]. We will use the following terms. Let M denote a square matrix. Then M is called *tridiagonal* whenever

---

[*] Department of Mathematics, University of Wisconsin, 480 Lincoln Drive, Madison WI 53706-1388 USA

each nonzero entry lies on either the diagonal, the subdiagonal, or the superdiagonal. Assume M is tridiagonal. Then M is called *irreducible* whenever each entry on the subdiagonal is nonzero and each entry on the superdiagonal is nonzero.

Definition 1.1 *[3]* By a *Leonard pair* on V, we mean an ordered pair (A, A^*), where $A : V \to V$ and $A^* : V \to V$ are linear transformations that satisfy both (i) and (ii) below:

(i) There exists a basis for V with respect to which the matrix representing A is diagonal and the matrix representing A^* is irreducible tridiagonal.

(ii) There exists a basis for V with respect to which the matrix representing A^* is diagonal and the matrix representing A is irreducible tridiagonal.

Note 1.2 It is a common notational convention to use A^* to represent the conjugate-transpose of A. We are *not* using this convention. In a Leonard pair (A, A^*), the linear transformations A and A^* are arbitrary subject to (i) and (ii) above.

In this paper we introduce the notion of adjacency for Leonard pairs. Our main results are summarized as follows. We show that there exist at most three mutually adjacent Leonard pairs on V provided that $\dim V \geq 2$. Given three mutually adjacent Leonard pairs on V, we show that the eigenvalue sequence and dual eigenvalue sequence of each is in arithmetic progression. Given a Leonard pair on V whose eigenvalue sequence and dual eigenvalue sequence are in arithmetic progression, we show that there exist two additional Leonard pairs on V such that all three Leonard pairs are mutually adjacent.

For the rest of this section we recall some basic results concerning Leonard pairs.

Definition 1.3 Let (A, A^*) denote a Leonard pair on V. Let W denote a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ with positive finite dimension and let (B, B^*) denote a Leonard pair on W. By an *isomorphism of Leonard pairs* from (A, A^*) to (B, B^*), we mean an isomorphism of vector spaces $\sigma : V \to W$ such that $\sigma A \sigma^{-1} = B$ and $\sigma A^* \sigma^{-1} = B^*$. We say (A, A^*) and (B, B^*) are *isomorphic* whenever there exists an isomorphism of Leonard pairs from (A, A^*) to (B, B^*).

Lemma 1.4 *[3, Lemma 1.3] Let (A, A^*) denote a Leonard pair on V. Then the eigenvalues of A (resp. A^*) are mutually distinct and contained in $\mathbb{K}$.*

Lemma 1.5 *[3, Lemma 3.3] Let (A, A^*) denote a Leonard pair on V. Then there does not exist a proper nonzero subspace W of V such that $AW \subseteq W$ and $A^*W \subseteq W$.*

By a *decomposition* of V we mean a sequence $V_0, V_1, \ldots, V_d$ of one dimensional subspaces of V such that

$$V = V_0 + V_1 + \cdots + V_d \qquad \text{(direct sum)}.$$

Let $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_d$ denote a basis for V and let $V_0, V_1, \ldots, V_d$ denote a decomposition of V. We say $V_0, V_1, \ldots, V_d$ is *induced* by $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_d$ whenever $V_i = \text{span}(v_i)$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$.

Definition 1.6 Let (A, A^*) denote a Leonard pair on V. A basis for V is said to be *A-standard* (resp. *A^*-standard*) whenever with respect to this basis the matrix representing A (resp. A^*) is diagonal and the matrix representing A^* (resp. A) is irreducible tridiagonal. A decomposition of V is said to be *A-standard* (resp. *A^*-standard*) whenever it is induced by an A-standard basis (resp. A^*-standard basis). A basis (resp. decomposition) for V is said to be *standard* for (A, A^*) whenever it is either A-standard or A^*-standard.

Let $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n$ be a finite sequence. By the *inversion* of $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n$ we mean the sequence $a_n, a_{n-1}, \ldots, a_0$.

Let (A, A^*) denote a Leonard pair on V and let $V_0, V_1, \ldots, V_d$ denote a decomposition of V. Observe that the inversion $V_d, V_{d-1}, \ldots, V_0$ is also a decomposition of V. One easily verifies that $V_0, V_1, \ldots, V_d$ is A-standard (resp. A^*-standard) if and only if the inversion $V_d, V_{d-1}, \ldots, V_0$ is A-standard (resp. A^*-standard). Moreover, by [6, p. 388] there is no other A-standard (resp. A^*-standard) decomposition of V.

2 Flags

In this section we will discuss the notion of a *standard flag* for a Leonard pair.

Definition 2.1 By a *flag* on V, we mean a sequence $F_0, F_1, \ldots, F_d$ of subspaces of V such that $F_{i-1} \subset F_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$, F_i has dimension $i + 1$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$, and $F_d = V$. We call F_i the i^{th} *component* of the flag.

The following construction yields a flag on V. Let $V_0, V_1, \ldots, V_d$ denote a decomposition of V. Set

$$F_i = V_0 + V_1 + \cdots + V_i$$

for $0 \leq i \leq d$. Observe that the sequence $F_0, F_1, \ldots, F_d$ is a flag on V. We say $F_0, F_1, \ldots, F_d$ is *induced* by $V_0, V_1, \ldots, V_d$.

For each Leonard pair, we will define a set of flags as follows.

Definition 2.2 Let (A, A^*) denote a Leonard pair on V. A flag on V is said to be *A-standard* (resp. *A^*-standard*) whenever it is induced by an A-standard (resp. A^*-standard) decomposition of V. A flag on V is said to be *standard* for (A, A^*) whenever it is either A-standard or A^*-standard. We define $\mathcal{F}(A, A^*)$ to be the set of the flags on V which are standard for (A, A^*).

Lemma 2.3 *Let (A, A^*) denote a Leonard pair on V. No flag on V is both A-standard and A^*-standard provided* $\dim V \geq 2$.

Proof. Assume $\dim V \geq 2$. Suppose there exists a flag on V that is both A-standard and A^*-standard. For this flag the 0^{th} component is a one dimensional subspace of V that is invariant for A and A^*. This contradicts Lemma 1.5. ▮

Corollary 2.4 *Let (A, A^*) denote a Leonard pair on V. Then (i)–(iii) below are true.*

(i) The number of A-standard flags on V is two if $\dim V \geq 2$ *and one if* $\dim V = 1$.

(ii) The number of A^*-standard flags on V is two if $\dim V \geq 2$ *and one if* $\dim V = 1$.

(iii) $|\mathcal{F}(A, A^*)| = 4$ *if* $\dim V \geq 2$ *and* $|\mathcal{F}(A, A^*)| = 1$ *if* $\dim V = 1$.

Proof. Assume $\dim V \geq 2$; otherwise the result is trivial.

Recall from the last paragraph of section 2 that there are exactly two A-standard decompositions of V and these decompositions are inversions of each other. The two A-standard flags induced by these decompositions are distinct since their 0^{th} components are distinct. A similar argument shows there are two A^*-standard flags. By Lemma 2.3 no flag on V is both A-standard and A^*-standard, so $|\mathcal{F}(A, A^*)| = 4$. ▮

We now discuss the notion of *opposite* flags. Let $F_0, F_1, \ldots, F_d$ and $G_0, G_1, \ldots, G_d$ denote flags on V. These flags are said to be *opposite* whenever

$$F_i \cap G_j = 0 \text{ if } i + j < d, \quad 0 \leq i, j \leq d.$$

The following construction produces an ordered pair of opposite flags on V. Let $V_0, V_1, \ldots, V_d$ denote a decomposition of V. Set

$$F_i = V_0 + V_1 + \cdots + V_i,$$

$$G_i = V_d + V_{d-1} + \cdots + V_{d-i}$$

for $0 \leq i \leq d$. Observe that the sequences $F_0, F_1, \ldots, F_d$ and $G_0, G_1, \ldots, G_d$ are opposite flags on V.

Given an ordered pair of opposite flags on V, the following construction produces a decomposition of V. Let $F_0, F_1, \ldots, F_d$ and $G_0, G_1, \ldots, G_d$ denote an ordered pair of opposite flags on V. Set

$$V_i = F_i \cap G_{d-i}$$

for $0 \leq i \leq d$. One easily verifies that $V_0, V_1, \ldots, V_d$ is a decomposition of V.

Remark 2.5 Let D denote the set of all decompositions of V, and let F denote the set of all ordered pairs of opposite flags on V. In the previous two paragraphs, we defined a map from D to F and a map from F to D. It is routine to show that these maps are inverses of one another. In particular, each of these maps is a bijection.

We will use the following notation.

Definition 2.6 Let f, g denote an ordered pair of opposite flags on V. Set

$$V_i = F_i \cap G_{d-i}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq d$$

where F_j (resp. G_j) denotes the j^{th} component of f (resp. g) for $0 \leq j \leq d$. Since f and g are opposite, $V_0, V_1, \ldots, V_d$ is a decomposition of V. We denote this decomposition by $[fg]$.

We now return our attention to Leonard pairs.

Theorem 2.7 *[4, Theorem 7.3] Let* (A, A^*) *denote a Leonard pair on* V*. Then the flags in* $\mathcal{F}(A, A^*)$ *are mutually opposite.*

We will find the following result useful.

Corollary 2.8 *Let* (A, A^*) *denote a Leonard pair on* V*. Let* x, y *denote distinct flags on* V*. Then the following are equivalent.*

(i) Each of x, y *is* A*-standard.*

(ii) The flags x, y *are opposite and* $[xy]$ *is an* A*-standard decomposition.*

Proof. $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$: Observe that x, y are distinct elements of $\mathcal{F}(A, A^*)$, so by Theorem 2.7, x, y are opposite. Since x is an A-standard flag, by Definition 2.2 there exists an A-standard decomposition $V_0, V_1, \ldots, V_d$ that induces x. Similarly there exists an A-standard decomposition that induces y. This decomposition must be $V_d, V_{d-1}, \ldots, V_0$ by Corollary 2.4(i) and since $x \neq y$. Observe that the decomposition $[xy]$ is equal to $V_0, V_1, \ldots, V_d$ and is therefore A-standard.

$(ii) \Rightarrow (i)$: Combine Remark 2.5 and Definition 2.2. ▮

3 The Split Decomposition

In this section we discuss the split decompositions for a Leonard pair. We will use the following terms. Let M denote a square matrix. We say M is *lower bidiagonal* whenever each nonzero entry lies on either the diagonal or the subdiagonal. We say M is *upper bidiagonal* whenever the transpose of M is lower bidiagonal.

Definition 3.1 Let (A, A^*) denote a Leonard pair on V. A basis for V is said to be *LU-split* for (A, A^*) whenever with respect to this basis the matrix representing A is lower bidiagonal and the matrix representing A^* is upper bidiagonal. A decomposition of V is said to be *LU-split* for (A, A^*) whenever it is induced by a basis for V that is LU-split for (A, A^*).

Lemma 3.2 *[1, Theorem 4.6] [5, Corollary 7.6] Let* (A, A^*) *denote a Leonard pair on* V*. Let* $V_0, V_1, \ldots, V_d$ *denote a decomposition of* V*. Then the following are equivalent.*

(i) $V_0, V_1, \ldots, V_d$ *is LU-split for* (A, A^*)*.*

(ii) There exist an A^**-standard flag* x *and an* A*-standard flag* y *such that* $[xy]$ *is equal to* $V_0, V_1, \ldots, V_d$*.*

Definition 3.3 Let (A, A^*) denote a Leonard pair on V. A basis for V is said to be *UL-split* for (A, A^*) whenever with respect to this basis the matrix representing A is upper bidiagonal and the matrix representing A^* is lower bidiagonal. A decomposition of V is said to be *UL-split* for (A, A^*) whenever it is induced by a basis for V that is UL-split for (A, A^*).

Let (A, A^*) denote a Leonard pair on V. One easily verifies that a decomposition (resp. basis) of V is LU-split for (A, A^*) if and only if the inversion of that decomposition (resp. basis) is UL-split for (A, A^*). By this and Lemma 3.2 we obtain the following result.

Lemma 3.4 *Let (A, A^*) denote a Leonard pair on V. Let $V_0, V_1, \ldots, V_d$ denote a decomposition of V. Then the following are equivalent.*

(i) *$V_0, V_1, \ldots, V_d$ is UL-split for (A, A^*).*

(ii) *There exist an A-standard flag x and an A^*-standard flag y such that $[xy]$ is equal to $V_0, V_1, \ldots, V_d$.*

Definition 3.5 Let (A, A^*) denote a Leonard pair on V. A basis (resp. decomposition) of V is said to be *split* for (A, A^*) whenever it is either LU-split or UL-split for (A, A^*).

4 Adjacent Leonard Pairs

In this section we define what it means for two Leonard pairs on V to be *adjacent*. We begin with a lemma.

Lemma 4.1 *Let (A, A^*) and (B, B^*) denote Leonard pairs on V. Then the following are equivalent.*

(i) *Each decomposition of V that is standard for (A, A^*) is split for (B, B^*).*

(ii) *Each decomposition of V that is standard for (B, B^*) is split for (A, A^*).*

Proof. Assume $\dim V \geq 2$; otherwise the result is trivial.

(i) $\Rightarrow$ *(ii)*: Consider an A-standard decomposition of V. By assumption this decomposition is split for (B, B^*). Inverting this decomposition if necessary, we can assume that it is UL-split for (B, B^*). By Lemma 3.4, there exists a B-standard flag x and a B^*-standard flag y such that $[xy]$ is this A-standard decomposition. By Corollary 2.8, we find x, y are the A-standard flags. Consider an A^*-standard decomposition of V. By assumption this decomposition is split for (B, B^*). Inverting this decomposition if necessary, we can assume that it is UL-split for (B, B^*). By Lemma 3.4, there exists a B-standard flag w and a B^*-standard flag z such that $[wz]$ is this A^*-standard decomposition. By Corollary 2.8, we find w, z are the A^*-standard flags. By Lemma 2.3 and since $\dim V \geq 2$, no flag on V is both A-standard and A^*-standard. Therefore w, x, y, z are distinct. We now see that x, w are the B-standard flags and y, z are the B^*-standard flags. Apparently the decompositions of V that are standard for (B, B^*) are $[xw], [wx], [yz], [zy]$. By Lemma 3.2 and 3.4, each of these is split for (A, A^*).

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ *(i)*: Reverse the roles of (A, A^*) and (B, B^*) in the proof of *(i)* $\Rightarrow$ *(ii)*. ▮

Rephrasing Lemma 4.1 in terms of bases, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 4.2 *Let (A, A^*) and (B, B^*) denote Leonard pairs on V. Then the following are equivalent.*

(i) *Each basis for V that is standard for (A, A^*) is split for (B, B^*).*

(ii) *Each basis for V that is standard for (B, B^*) is split for (A, A^*).*

Definition 4.3 Let (A, A^*) and (B, B^*) denote Leonard pairs on V. We say these pairs are *adjacent* whenever they satisfy conditions (i) and (ii) in Lemma 4.1 (equivalently, they satisfy conditions (i) and (ii) in Corollary 4.2.)

Lemma 4.4 *Let (A, A^*) and (B, B^*) denote adjacent Leonard pairs on V. Then each of the following (i)–(iv) are adjacent Leonard pairs on V.*

(i) (A, A^*) *and* (B, B^*).

(ii) (A^*, A) *and* (B, B^*).

(iii) (A, A^*) *and* (B^*, B).

(iv) (A^*, A) *and* (B^*, B).

Proof. Observe that a basis for V is standard (resp. split) for (A, A^*) if and only if that basis is standard (resp. split) for (A^*, A). A similar statement applies for (B, B^*) and (B^*, B). The result follows. ▮

Our next goal is to show that there exist at most three mutually adjacent Leonard pairs on V provided $\dim V \geq 2$. To do this, we first introduce some notation.

Let (A, A^*) denote a Leonard pair on V and assume $\dim V \geq 2$. We define a relation $\sim$ on the set $\mathcal{F}(A, A^*)$ as follows. Let $x, y \in \mathcal{F}(A, A^*)$. Then $x \sim y$ whenever either x and y are both A-standard flags or x and y are both A^*-standard flags. We observe that $\sim$ is an equivalence relation on $\mathcal{F}(A, A^*)$. The relation $\sim$ partitions $\mathcal{F}(A, A^*)$ into two equivalence classes, each containing two elements. We call $\sim$ the *principal relation* induced by (A, A^*).

Lemma 4.5 *Assume* $\dim V \geq 2$. *Let (A, A^*) and (B, B^*) denote Leonard pairs on V. Then the following are equivalent.*

(i) (A, A^*) *and* (B, B^*) *are adjacent.*

(ii) $\mathcal{F}(A, A^*) = \mathcal{F}(B, B^*)$ *and the principal relation induced by* (A, A^*) *is different from the principal relation induced by* (B, B^*).

Proof. $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$: By Definition 4.3 we find Lemma 4.1(i) holds. We argue as in the proof of $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$ from Lemma 4.1. Using the same notation as in that proof, we see that $\mathcal{F}(A, A^*)$ and $\mathcal{F}(B, B^*)$ are both equal to $\{w, x, y, z\}$. Recall that x, w are the B-standard flags, that y, z are the B^*-standard flags, that x, y are the A-standard flags, and that w, z are the A^*-standard flags. Therefore the principal relation induced by (A, A^*) is different from the principal relation induced by (B, B^*).

$(ii) \Rightarrow (i)$: The decompositions of V that are standard for (A, A^*) are split for (B, B^*). Therefore (A, A^*) and (B, B^*) are adjacent by Definition 4.3. ▮

We now present our first main result.

Theorem 4.6 *Assume* $\dim V \geq 2$. *Then there exist at most three mutually adjacent Leonard pairs on V.*

Proof. There are three ways to partition a four element set into two sets, each of size two. The result follows from this and Lemma 4.5. ▮

5 The Eigenvalue And Dual Eigenvalue Sequences For A Leonard Pair

In this section we discuss the eigenvalues of a Leonard pair.

Definition 5.1 Let (A, A^*) denote a Leonard pair on V and let $V_0, V_1, \ldots, V_d$ denote an A-standard decomposition of V. Recall that for $0 \leq i \leq d$, V_i is an eigenspace for A; let θ_i denote the corresponding eigenvalue. We call $\theta_0, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_d$ the *eigenvalue sequence* for (A, A^*) that corresponds to $V_0, V_1, \ldots, V_d$.

Definition 5.2 Let (A, A^*) denote a Leonard pair on V and let $V_0^*, V_1^*, \ldots, V_d^*$ denote an A^*-standard decomposition of V. For $0 \leq i \leq d$, recall V_i^* is an eigenspace for A^*; let θ_i^* denote the corresponding eigenvalue. We call $\theta_0^*, \theta_1^*, \ldots, \theta_d^*$ the *dual eigenvalue sequence* for (A, A^*) that corresponds to $V_0^*, V_1^*, \ldots, V_d^*$.

Let (A, A^*) denote a Leonard pair on V. Observe that if $\theta_0, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_d$ is an eigenvalue sequence for (A, A^*) then so is $\theta_d, \theta_{d-1}, \ldots, \theta_0$ and there is no other eigenvalue sequence for (A, A^*). A similar result holds for the dual eigenvalue sequences of (A, A^*).

We recall a basic property of the eigenvalue and dual eigenvalue sequences.

Lemma 5.3 *[1, Theorem 11.1] Let (A, A^*) denote a Leonard pair on V. Let $\theta_0, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_d$ (resp. $\theta_0^*, \theta_1^*, \ldots, \theta_d^*$) denote an eigenvalue sequence (resp. dual eigenvalue sequence) for (A, A^*). Then the scalars*

$$\frac{\theta_{i-2} - \theta_{i+1}}{\theta_{i-1} - \theta_i}, \qquad \frac{\theta_{i-2}^* - \theta_{i+1}^*}{\theta_{i-1}^* - \theta_i^*}$$

are equal and independent of i for $2 \leq i \leq d-1$.

Parametric expressions for the eigenvalue sequences and dual eigenvalue sequences of a Leonard pair can be found in [1, Theorem 11.2].

Let (A, A^*) denote a Leonard pair on V. Let $\theta_0, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_d$ (resp. $\theta_0^*, \theta_1^*, \ldots, \theta_d^*$) denote an eigenvalue sequence (resp. dual eigenvalue sequence) for (A, A^*). In this paper we will encounter the special case in which the scalars

$$\frac{\theta_i - \theta_{i+1}}{\theta_{i-1} - \theta_i}, \qquad \frac{\theta_i^* - \theta_{i+1}^*}{\theta_{i-1}^* - \theta_i^*},$$

are equal and independent of i for $1 \leq i \leq d-1$. The next three lemmas prepare us for this special case.

Lemma 5.4 *Let d denote a nonnegative integer and let $\theta_0, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_d$ denote a sequence of mutually distinct scalars in $\mathbb{K}$. Given $q \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $q \neq 0$ and $q \neq 1$, the following are equivalent.*

(i) For $1 \leq i \leq d-1$,

$$\frac{\theta_i - \theta_{i+1}}{\theta_{i-1} - \theta_i} = q.$$

(ii) There exists $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{K}$ *such that* $\alpha \neq 0$ *and* $\theta_i = \alpha q^i + \beta$ *for* $0 \leq i \leq d$.

Proof. $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$: Observe $\theta_{i+1} - (1+q)\theta_i + q\theta_{i-1} = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq d-1$. The characteristic polynomial of this recursion is $x^2 - (1+q)x + q = 0$ and this polynomial has roots at $x = 1$ and $x = q$. We conclude that there exists $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $\theta_i = \alpha q^i + \beta$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$. Furthermore, $\alpha \neq 0$ since $\theta_0, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_d$ are mutually distinct.

$(ii) \Rightarrow (i)$: This direction is clear. ▮

Definition 5.5 Let d denote a nonnegative integer and let $\theta_0, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_d$ denote a sequence of mutually distinct scalars in $\mathbb{K}$. Given $q \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $q \neq 0$ and $q \neq 1$, we call this sequence *q-classical* whenever it satisfies the equivalent conditions (i), (ii) from Lemma 5.4.

Note 5.6 Referring to Definition 5.5, assume the sequence $\theta_0, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_d$ is q-classical. Then $q^i \neq 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$.

Proof. Immediate from Lemma 5.4(ii) and the fact that $\theta_0, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_d$ are mutually distinct. ▮

Note 5.7 Referring to Definition 5.5, the sequence $\theta_0, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_d$ is q-classical if and only if the sequence $\theta_d, \theta_{d-1}, \ldots, \theta_0$ is q^{-1}-classical.

Lemma 5.8 *Let d denote a nonnegative integer and let $\theta_0, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_d$ denote a sequence of mutually distinct scalars in $\mathbb{K}$. The following are equivalent.*

(i) For $1 \leq i \leq d-1$,

$$\frac{\theta_i - \theta_{i+1}}{\theta_{i-1} - \theta_i} = 1.$$

(ii) There exists $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{K}$ *such that* $\alpha \neq 0$ *and* $\theta_i = \alpha i + \beta$ *for* $0 \leq i \leq d$.

Proof. Routine. ▮

Definition 5.9 Let d denote a nonnegative integer and let $\theta_0, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_d$ denote a sequence of mutually distinct scalars in $\mathbb{K}$. We call this sequence *arithmetic* whenever it satisfies the equivalent conditions (i), (ii) from Lemma 5.8.

Note 5.10 Referring to Definition 5.9, the sequence $\theta_0, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_d$ is arithmetic if and only if the sequence $\theta_d, \theta_{d-1}, \ldots, \theta_0$ is arithmetic.

We now return our attention to Leonard pairs.

Lemma 5.11 *Let (A, A^*) denote a Leonard pair on V. Let $\theta_0, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_d$ denote an eigenvalue sequence for (A, A^*). Assume $d \geq 3$ and*

$$\frac{\theta_1 - \theta_2}{\theta_0 - \theta_1} = \frac{\theta_2 - \theta_3}{\theta_1 - \theta_2}. \tag{1}$$

Then

$$\frac{\theta_i - \theta_{i+1}}{\theta_{i-1} - \theta_i}$$

is independent of i for $1 \leq i \leq d-1$.

Proof. We show

$$\frac{\theta_1-\theta_2}{\theta_0-\theta_1}=\frac{\theta_i-\theta_{i+1}}{\theta_{i-1}-\theta_i} \tag{2}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq d-1$. We proceed by induction. Let i be given. If $i=1$ then (2) holds so assume $2 \leq i \leq d-1$. By Lemma 5.3,

$$\frac{\theta_0-\theta_3}{\theta_1-\theta_2}=\frac{\theta_{i-2}-\theta_{i+1}}{\theta_{i-1}-\theta_i}.$$

Using this and induction we find

$$-\frac{\theta_0-\theta_1}{\theta_1-\theta_2}+\frac{\theta_0-\theta_3}{\theta_1-\theta_2}-1=-\frac{\theta_{i-2}-\theta_{i-1}}{\theta_{i-1}-\theta_i}+\frac{\theta_{i-2}-\theta_{i+1}}{\theta_{i-1}-\theta_i}-1.$$

Reducing we get

$$\frac{\theta_2-\theta_3}{\theta_1-\theta_2}=\frac{\theta_i-\theta_{i+1}}{\theta_{i-1}-\theta_i}.$$

Evaluating this equation using (1) we obtain (2). The result follows. ▮

6 Eigenvalue And Dual Eigenvalue Sequences For Adjacent Leonard Pairs

In this section we will discuss the eigenvalues and dual eigenvalues of adjacent Leonard pairs. We use the following notation.

Definition 6.1 Let (A, A^*) and (B, B^*) denote adjacent Leonard pairs on V, and assume $\dim V \geq 2$. Recall by Lemma 4.5 that $\mathcal{F}(A, A^*)=\mathcal{F}(B, B^*)$ and the principal relations induced by (A, A^*) and (B, B^*) are distinct. Let w, x, y, z denote the elements of $\mathcal{F}(A, A^*)=\mathcal{F}(B, B^*)$, ordered so that the flag types are given as follows.

	B-standard flags	B^*-standard flags
A-standard flags	w	x
A^*-standard flags	z	y

With respect to this labeling,

(i) Let $\theta_0, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_d$ denote the eigenvalue sequence for (A, A^*) associated with the decomposition $[wx]$.

(ii) Let $\theta^*_0, \theta^*_1, \ldots, \theta^*_d$ denote the dual eigenvalue sequence for (A, A^*) associated with the decomposition $[yz]$.

(iii) Let $\eta_0, \eta_1, \ldots, \eta_d$ denote the eigenvalue sequence for (B, B^*) associated with the decomposition $[zw]$.

(iv) Let $\eta^*_0, \eta^*_1, \ldots, \eta^*_d$ denote the dual eigenvalue sequence for (B, B^*) associated with the decomposition $[xy]$.

Lemma 6.2 *With reference to Definition 6.1,*

$$\frac{(\theta_{d-i}-\theta_d)(\theta_{d-i}-\theta_{d-1})\cdots(\theta_{d-i}-\theta_{d-j+1})}{(\theta_{d-j}-\theta_d)(\theta_{d-j}-\theta_{d-1})\cdots(\theta_{d-j}-\theta_{d-j+1})} = \frac{(\eta_0-\eta_{j+1})(\eta_0-\eta_{j+2})\cdots(\eta_0-\eta_i)}{(\eta_j-\eta_{j+1})(\eta_j-\eta_{j+2})\cdots(\eta_j-\eta_i)} \tag{3}$$

for $0 \leq j \leq i \leq d$.

Proof. Let $V_0, V_1, \ldots, V_d$ denote the decomposition $[wx]$ and let $U_0, U_1, \ldots, U_d$ denote the decomposition $[zw]$. Let $0 \neq u \in U_0$. Observe that u is an eigenvector for A^* with eigenvalue θ^*_d. Define $u_i = (A-\theta_d)\cdots(A-\theta_{d-i+1})u$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$. By [4, p. 841], u_i is a basis for U_i for $0 \leq i \leq d$. Moreover, $u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_d$ is a basis for V. By [5, Section 19], there exists a basis $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_d$ for V such that $v_i \in V_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$ and $\Sigma^d_{i=0} v_i = u$. Let T_1 denote the transition matrix from the basis $v_d, v_{d-1}, \ldots, v_0$ to the basis $u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_d$. By [4, Theorem 15.2], T_1 is lower triangular with entries

$$T_1(i,j) = (\theta_{d-i}-\theta_d)\cdots(\theta_{d-i}-\theta_{d-j+1}) \qquad 0 \leq j \leq i \leq d.$$

Observe that v_d is an eigenvector for B^* with eigenvalue η^*_0. Define $v'_i = (B-\eta_0)\cdots(B-\eta_{d-i-1})v_d$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$. By [4, p. 841], v'_i is a basis for V_i for $0 \leq i \leq d$. Moreover, $v'_0, v'_1, \ldots, v'_d$ is a basis for V. By [5, Section 19], there exists a basis $u'_0, u'_1, \ldots, u'_d$ for V such that $u'_i \in U_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$ and $\Sigma^d_{i=0} u'_i = v_d$. Let T_2 denote the transition matrix from the basis $v'_d, v'_{d-1}, \ldots, v'_0$ to the basis $u'_0, u'_1, \ldots, u'_d$. By [4, Theorem 15.2], T_2 is lower triangular with entries

$$T_2(i,j) = \frac{1}{(\eta_j-\eta_0)\cdots(\eta_j-\eta_{j-1})}\frac{1}{(\eta_j-\eta_{j+1})\cdots(\eta_j-\eta_i)} \qquad 0 \leq j \leq i \leq d.$$

Let D_1 denote the transition matrix from the basis $v_d, v_{d-1}, \ldots, v_0$ to the basis $v'_d, v'_{d-1}, \ldots, v'_0$. Since $v_i, v'_i \in V_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$, we find D_1 is diagonal. For $0 \leq i \leq d$, let α_i denote the (i,i) entry of D_1. Observe that $v'_d = v_d$, so $\alpha_0 = 1$. Let D_2 denote the transition matrix from the basis $u'_0, u'_1, \ldots, u'_d$ to the basis $u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_d$. Since $u_i, u'_i \in U_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$, we find D_2 is diagonal. For $0 \leq i \leq d$, let β_i denote the (i,i) entry of D_2.

Observe that $D_1T_2D_2$ is the transition matrix from the basis $v_d, v_{d-1}, \ldots, v_0$ to the basis $u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_d$. This transition matrix is also given by T_1, so $T_1 = D_1T_2D_2$. Pick $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $0 \leq j \leq i \leq d$. Equating the (i,j) entries of T_1 and $D_1T_2D_2$ we find

$$(\theta_{d-i}-\theta_d)\cdots(\theta_{d-i}-\theta_{d-j+1}) = \alpha_i\beta_j\frac{1}{(\eta_j-\eta_0)\cdots(\eta_j-\eta_{j-1})}\frac{1}{(\eta_j-\eta_{j+1})\cdots(\eta_j-\eta_i)}. \tag{4}$$

Setting $i=0$, $j=0$ in (4), we find that $\alpha_0\beta_0 = 1$, and therefore $\beta_0 = 1$. Setting $j=0$ in (4), we find

$$\alpha_i = (\eta_0-\eta_1)\cdots(\eta_0-\eta_i) \qquad 0 \leq i \leq d. \tag{5}$$

Setting $j=i$ in (4) and using (5), we find

$$\beta_i = (\theta_{d-i}-\theta_d)\ldots(\theta_{d-i}-\theta_{d-i+1})\frac{(\eta_i-\eta_0)\cdots(\eta_i-\eta_{i-1})}{(\eta_0-\eta_1)\cdots(\eta_0-\eta_i)} \qquad 0 \leq i \leq d. \tag{6}$$

Evaluating (4) using (5) and (6), we get (3) for $0 \leq j \leq i \leq d$. ∎

Lemma 6.3 *With reference to Definition 6.1, the scalars*

$$\frac{\theta_i - \theta_{i+1}}{\theta_{i-1} - \theta_i}, \qquad\qquad \frac{\eta_i - \eta_{i+1}}{\eta_{i-1} - \eta_i} \tag{7}$$

are equal and independent of i for $1 \leq i \leq d-1$.

Proof. Assume $d \geq 2$; otherwise the result is trivial.

For $1 \leq i \leq d-1$, let ϵ_i (resp. δ_i) denote the fraction on the left (resp. right) in (7). We show ϵ_i, δ_i are equal and independent of i for $1 \leq i \leq d-1$.

We first show $\epsilon_{d-1} = \delta_1$. Setting $(i, j) = (2, 1)$ in (3), we find

$$\frac{\theta_{d-2} - \theta_d}{\theta_{d-1} - \theta_d} = \frac{\eta_0 - \eta_2}{\eta_1 - \eta_2}. \tag{8}$$

In this equation, the left-hand side (resp. right-hand side) is equal to $1+\epsilon_{d-1}^{-1}$ (resp. $1+\delta_1^{-1}$), so $\epsilon_{d-1} = \delta_1$. From now on assume $d \geq 3$; otherwise we are done.

We will need the fact that $\epsilon_{d-1} = \epsilon_{d-2} = \delta_1 = \delta_2$. We already showed that $\epsilon_{d-1} = \delta_1$. We will show $\epsilon_{d-2} = \delta_2$ and $\epsilon_{d-1} = \delta_2$. We start by showing $\epsilon_{d-2} = \delta_2$. Setting $(i, j) = (3, 1)$ in (3) we find

$$\frac{\theta_{d-3} - \theta_d}{\theta_{d-1} - \theta_d} = \frac{(\eta_0 - \eta_2)}{(\eta_1 - \eta_2)} \frac{(\eta_0 - \eta_3)}{(\eta_1 - \eta_3)}. \tag{9}$$

Setting $(i, j) = (3, 2)$ in (3) we find

$$\frac{(\theta_{d-3} - \theta_d)}{(\theta_{d-2} - \theta_d)} \frac{(\theta_{d-3} - \theta_{d-1})}{(\theta_{d-2} - \theta_{d-1})} = \frac{\eta_0 - \eta_3}{\eta_2 - \eta_3}. \tag{10}$$

Multiplying (8) by (10) and dividing the result by (9) we find

$$\frac{\theta_{d-3} - \theta_{d-1}}{\theta_{d-2} - \theta_{d-1}} = \frac{\eta_1 - \eta_3}{\eta_2 - \eta_3}.$$

In this equation, the left-hand side (resp. right-hand side) is equal to $1+\epsilon_{d-2}^{-1}$ (resp. $1+\delta_2^{-1}$), so $\epsilon_{d-2} = \delta_2$.

We now show $\epsilon_{d-1} = \delta_2$. Dividing (9) by (8) and subtracting 1 from the result we find

$$\frac{\theta_{d-3} - \theta_{d-2}}{\theta_{d-2} - \theta_d} = \frac{\eta_0 - \eta_1}{\eta_1 - \eta_3}.$$

In this equation, the left-hand side (resp. right-hand side) is equal to $\epsilon_{d-1}^{-1}\epsilon_{d-2}^{-1}(1 + \epsilon_{d-1}^{-1})^{-1}$ (resp. $\delta_1^{-1}\delta_2^{-1}(1 + \delta_2^{-1})^{-1}$). From our above comments $\epsilon_{d-1}\epsilon_{d-2} = \delta_1\delta_2$. Therefore $1 + \epsilon_{d-1}^{-1} = 1 + \delta_2^{-1}$ so $\epsilon_{d-1} = \delta_2$. We have now shown $\epsilon_{d-1} = \epsilon_{d-2} = \delta_1 = \delta_2$.

Applying Lemma 5.11 to the eigenvalue sequence $\eta_0, \eta_1, \ldots, \eta_d$ and since $\delta_1 = \delta_2$ we find δ_i is indepentent of i for $1 \leq i \leq d-1$. Applying Lemma 5.11 to the eigenvalue sequence $\theta_d, \theta_{d-1}, \ldots, \theta_0$ and since $\epsilon_{d-1} = \epsilon_{d-2}$ we find ϵ_i is independent of i for $1 \leq i \leq d-1$. Since $\epsilon_{d-1} = \delta_1$ we find ϵ_i, δ_i are equal and independent of i for $1 \leq i \leq d-1$. ▌

Theorem 6.4 *With reference to Definition 6.1, either (i) or (ii) below holds.*

(i) Each of the sequences

$$\theta_0, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_d; \qquad \theta^*_0, \theta^*_1, \ldots, \theta^*_d; \qquad \eta_0, \eta_1, \ldots, \eta_d; \qquad \eta^*_0, \eta^*_1, \ldots, \eta^*_d$$

is arithmetic.

(ii) There exists $q \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $q \neq 0$, $q \neq 1$ and each of the sequences

$$\theta_0, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_d; \qquad \theta^*_0, \theta^*_1, \ldots, \theta^*_d; \qquad \eta_0, \eta_1, \ldots, \eta_d; \qquad \eta^*_0, \eta^*_1, \ldots, \eta^*_d$$

is q-classical.

Proof. Assume $d \geq 2$; otherwise (i) holds trivially.

By Lemma 4.4, (A^*, A) is adjacent to (B^*, B). Apply Lemma 6.3 to this pair by replacing θ_i with θ^*_i and η_i with η^*_i. We find

$$\frac{\theta^*_i - \theta^*_{i+1}}{\theta^*_{i-1} - \theta^*_i}, \qquad \frac{\eta^*_i - \eta^*_{i+1}}{\eta^*_{i-1} - \eta^*_i} \tag{11}$$

are equal and independent of i for $1 \leq i \leq d-1$.

By Lemma 4.4, (A, A^*) is adjacent to (B^*, B). Apply Lemma 6.3 to this pair by replacing θ_i with θ_{d-i} and η_i with η^*_{d-i}. We find

$$\frac{\theta_i - \theta_{i+1}}{\theta_{i-1} - \theta_i}, \qquad \frac{\eta^*_i - \eta^*_{i+1}}{\eta^*_{i-1} - \eta^*_i} \tag{12}$$

are equal and independent of i for $1 \leq i \leq d-1$.

Combining Lemma 6.3 and lines (11), (12) we find the scalars

$$\frac{\theta_i - \theta_{i+1}}{\theta_{i-1} - \theta_i}, \qquad \frac{\theta^*_i - \theta^*_{i+1}}{\theta^*_{i-1} - \theta^*_i}, \qquad \frac{\eta_i - \eta_{i+1}}{\eta_{i-1} - \eta_i}, \qquad \frac{\eta^*_i - \eta^*_{i+1}}{\eta^*_{i-1} - \eta^*_i} \tag{13}$$

are equal and independent of i for $1 \leq i \leq d-1$.

Let q denote the common value of (13) and observe $q \neq 0$. If $q = 1$ then (i) holds by Lemma 5.8 and Definition 5.9. If $q \neq 1$ then (ii) holds by Lemma 5.4 and Definition 5.5. ∎

7 Three Mutually Adjacent Leonard Pairs

We now present our second main result.

Theorem 7.1 *Let (A, A^*), (B, B^*), and (C, C^*) denote three mutually adjacent Leonard pairs on V. Then for each of these pairs, the eigenvalue sequences and dual eigenvalue sequences are arithmetic.*

Proof. Assume $\dim V \geq 3$; otherwise the result is trivial.

Assume the theorem is false. Then relabeling the Leonard pairs if necessary we can assume that the eigenvalue sequences or dual eigenvalue sequences of (A, A^*) are not arithmetic. Since the Leonard pairs (A, A^*) and (B, B^*) are adjacent, we adopt the notation of Definition 6.1. Since Theorem 6.4(i) does not hold for (A, A^*) and (B, B^*), Theorem 6.4(ii) must hold. By Theorem 6.4(ii), there exists $q \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $q \neq 0$, $q \neq 1$ and the eigenvalue sequence for (A, A^*) corresponding to $[wx]$ and the dual eigenvalue sequence for (A, A^*) corresponding to $[yz]$ are q-classical.

By Lemma 4.5, we find $\mathcal{F}(A, A^*) = \mathcal{F}(B, B^*) = \mathcal{F}(C, C^*)$; call this common set $\mathcal{F}$. Also by Lemma 4.5, the principal relations induced on $\mathcal{F}$ by (A, A^*), (B, B^*), and (C, C^*) are mutually distinct. From the construction, the principal relation induced by (A, A^*) partitions $\mathcal{F}$ into the equivalence classes $\{w, x\}$ and $\{y, z\}$. Similarly, the principal relation induced by (B, B^*) partitions $\mathcal{F}$ into the equivalence classes $\{w, z\}$ and $\{x, y\}$. Therefore the principal relation induced by (C, C^*) must partition $\mathcal{F}$ into the equivalence classes $\{w, y\}$ and $\{x, z\}$. Interchanging C and C^* if necessary, we can assume that w, y are the C-standard flags and x, z are the C^*-standard flags.

Now apply Theorem 6.4 to the adjacent Leonard pairs (A, A^*) and (C, C^*). We find Theorem 6.4(ii) holds and there exists $q' \in \mathbb{K}$ ($q' \neq 0$, $q' \neq 1$) such that the eigenvalue sequence for (A, A^*) corresponding to $[wx]$ and the dual eigenvalue sequence for (A, A^*) corresponding to $[zy]$ is q'-classical. Since the eigenvalue sequence for (A, A^*) corresponding to $[wx]$ is both q-classical and q'-classical we have $q = q'$. Since the decomposition $[yz]$ is the inversion of the decomposition $[zy]$, the dual eigenvalue sequence for (A, A^*) corresponding to $[zy]$ is both q^{-1}-classical and q'-classical. Therefore $q^{-1} = q'$. Since $q = q'$ and $q^{-1} = q'$, we find $q = 1$ or $q = -1$. By construction $q \neq 1$. Observe $q \neq -1$ by Note 5.6 and since $d \geq 2$. We now have a contradiction. ∎

8 Example

In this section we give an example of three mutually adjacent Leonard pairs.

Our discussion will start with the Lie algebra $sl_2(\mathbb{K})$. This algebra has a basis e, f, h that satisfies $[e, f] = h$, $[e, h] = -2e$, and $[f, h] = 2f$, where $[,]$ denotes the Lie bracket. Such a basis is called a *Chevalley basis*.

We recall the irreducible, finite dimensional $sl_2(\mathbb{K})$-modules. For an integer $d \geq 0$, up to isomorphism there exists a unique irreducible $sl_2(\mathbb{K})$-module with dimension $d + 1$. We call this module V^d. Given a Chevalley basis e, f, h for $sl_2(\mathbb{K})$ there exists a basis for V^d with respect to which the matrices representing e, f, and h are as follows:

$$e: \begin{pmatrix} 0 & d & & & & \mathbf{0} \\ & 0 & d-1 & & & \\ & & 0 & \cdot & & \\ & & & \cdot & \cdot & \\ & & & & \cdot & 1 \\ \mathbf{0} & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad f: \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & & \mathbf{0} \\ 1 & 0 & & & & \\ & 2 & 0 & & & \\ & & \cdot & \cdot & & \\ & & & \cdot & \cdot & \\ \mathbf{0} & & & & d & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{14}$$

$$h: \mathrm{diag}(d, d-2, \ldots, -d). \tag{15}$$

We have a comment about the 2-dimensional irreducible $sl_2(\mathbb{K})$-module.

Lemma 8.1 *Let v_0, v_1 denote a basis for V^1. Then there exists a Chevalley basis e, f, h for $sl_2(\mathbb{K})$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} ev_0 &= 0, & ev_1 &= v_0, \\ fv_0 &= v_1, & fv_1 &= 0, \\ hv_0 &= v_0, & hv_1 &= -v_1. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $e : V^1 \to V^1$ denote the linear transformation that sends v_0 to 0 and v_1 to v_0. Let $f : V^1 \to V^1$ denote the linear transformation that sends v_0 to v_1 and v_1 to 0. Let $h : V^1 \to V^1$ denote the linear transformation that sends v_0 to v_0 and v_1 to $-v_1$. We see that e, f, h have trace 0 on V^1 and therefore can be viewed as elements of $sl_2(\mathbb{K})$. Notice that e, f, h are linearly independent and hence form a basis for $sl_2(\mathbb{K})$. We check that $[e, f] = h$, $[e, h] = -2e$, and $[f, h] = 2f$. Therefore e, f, h is a Chevalley basis for $sl_2(\mathbb{K})$. ▮

Lemma 8.2 *Let $a, a^* \in sl_2(\mathbb{K})$. Then the following (i)–(iii) are equivalent.*

(i) There exist pairwise linearly independent vectors v_0, v_1, w_0, w_1 in V^1 such that

$$\begin{aligned} av_0 &= v_0, & av_1 &= -v_1, \\ a^*w_0 &= w_0, & a^*w_1 &= -w_1. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) a, a^* generate $sl_2(\mathbb{K})$, and on V^1 we have $\det(a) = \det(a^*) = -1$.

(iii) There exists a Chevalley basis e, f, h for $sl_2(\mathbb{K})$ and there exist $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{K}$ such that

$$a = h, \qquad a^* = \alpha h + \beta e + \gamma f, \tag{16}$$

and $\beta\gamma = 1 - \alpha^2 \neq 0$.

Proof. $(ii) \Rightarrow (i)$: Observe that the action of a on V^1 has determinant -1 and trace 0, so the characteristic polynomial of a on V^1 is $x^2 - 1$. Therefore the eigenvalues of a on V^1 are 1 and -1. Let $v_0 \in V^1$ denote an eigenvector of a with eigenvalue 1 and let $v_1 \in V^1$ denote an eigenvector of a with eigenvalue -1. Note that v_0, v_1 are linearly independent and that $av_0 = v_0$, $av_1 = -v_1$. Similarly, there exist linearly independent vectors $w_0, w_1 \in V^1$ such that $a^*w_0 = w_0$ and $a^*w_1 = -w_1$.

It remains to show that v_i, w_j are linearly independent for $i, j \in \{0, 1\}$. Suppose there exist $i, j \in \{0, 1\}$ such that v_i, w_j are linearly dependent. Then $\operatorname{span}(v_i) = \operatorname{span}(w_j)$ is a proper nonzero subspace of V^1 which is invariant under the actions of a, a^*. This is impossible since a, a^* generate $sl_2(\mathbb{K})$ and V^1 is irreducible as an $sl_2(\mathbb{K})$-module.

$(i) \Rightarrow (iii)$: By assumption v_0, v_1 are linearly independent so they form a basis for V^1. Let e, f, h denote the corresponding Chevalley basis for $sl_2(\mathbb{K})$ from Lemma 8.1. Comparing the actions of a and h on the basis v_0, v_1 we find $a = h$. Since e, f, h form a basis for $sl_2(\mathbb{K})$ there exist $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $a^* = \alpha h + \beta e + \gamma f$. Note that $\beta \neq 0$; otherwise v_1 is an eigenvector of a^* and therefore is a scalar multiple of w_0 or w_1. Similarly $\gamma \neq 0$. Therefore

$\beta\gamma \neq 0$. We show $\beta\gamma = 1-\alpha^2$. Observe w_0, w_1 is a basis for V^1. From the action of a^* on this basis we find the determinant of a^* on V^1 is -1. Using $a^* = \alpha h + \beta e + \gamma f$ and the data in Lemma 8.1 we find the determinant of a^* on V^1 is $-\alpha^2 - \beta\gamma$. Therefore $-1 = -\alpha^2 - \beta\gamma$ so $\beta\gamma = 1 - \alpha^2$.

(iii) ⇒ *(ii)*: Since e, f, h is a Chevalley basis for $sl_2(\mathbb{K})$, there exists a basis v_0, v_1 for V^1 such that $ev_1 = v_0$, $ev_0 = 0$, $fv_0 = v_1$, $fv_1 = 0$, $hv_0 = v_0$, $hv_1 = -v_1$. With respect to the basis v_0, v_1 the matrices representing a, a^* are

$$a : \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad\qquad a^* : \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & -\alpha \end{pmatrix}. \tag{17}$$

We show a, a^* generate $sl_2(\mathbb{K})$. From (17) we find $[a, a^*] = 2\beta e - 2\gamma f$. Comparing this with (16) we find $a, a^*, [a, a^*]$ are linearly independent and therefore span $sl_2(\mathbb{K})$. This shows a, a^* generate $sl_2(\mathbb{K})$. From (17) we find that on V^1 we have $\det(a) = \det(a^*) = -1$. ▮

Lemma 8.3 *Let $a, a^* \in sl_2(\mathbb{K})$ satisfy the equivalent conditions (i)–(iii) in Lemma 8.2. Let d denote a nonnegative integer. Then a, a^* act on V^d as a Leonard pair. The sequence $d-2i$ $(0 \leq i \leq d)$ is both an eigenvalue sequence and a dual eigenvalue sequence for this pair.*

Proof. By Lemma 8.2*(iii)* there exists a Chevalley basis e, f, h for $sl_2(\mathbb{K})$ and there exist $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{K}$ with β, γ nonzero such that $a = h$ and $a^* = \alpha h + \beta e + \gamma f$. Consider the basis for V^d with respect to which the matrices representating e, f, h are given in (14) and (15). With respect to this basis, the matrices representating a and a^* are:

$$a : \mathrm{diag}(d, d-2, \ldots, -d), \tag{18}$$

$$a^* : \begin{pmatrix} d\alpha & d\beta & & & & & \mathbf{0} \\ \gamma & (d-2)\alpha & (d-1)\beta & & & & \\ & 2\gamma & (d-4)\alpha & (d-2)\beta & & & \\ & & 3\gamma & \cdot & \cdot & & \\ & & & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \\ & & & & \cdot & \cdot & \beta \\ \mathbf{0} & & & & & d\gamma & -d\alpha \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since β, γ are nonzero, the matrix representing a^* is irreducible tridiagonal.

Replacing (a, a^*) by (a^*, a) in the argument so far, we find there exists a basis for V^d with respect to which a^* acts as $\mathrm{diag}(d, d-2, \ldots, -d)$ and a is irreducible tridiagonal. The result follows. ▮

Definition 8.4 Let v_0, v_1, w_0, w_1 denote pairwise linearly independent vectors in V^1. We let a, a^*, b, b^*, c, c^* denote the elements of $sl_2(\mathbb{K})$ that satisfy the following:

$$\begin{aligned} av_0 &= v_0, & av_1 &= -v_1, \\ a^*w_0 &= w_0, & a^*w_1 &= -w_1, \\ bv_0 &= v_0, & bw_0 &= -w_0, \\ b^*w_1 &= w_1, & b^*v_1 &= -v_1, \\ cv_0 &= v_0, & cw_1 &= -w_1, \\ c^*w_0 &= w_0, & c^*v_1 &= -v_1. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 8.5 *Let d denote a nonnegative integer. With reference to Definition 8.4, the pairs (a, a^*), (b, b^*), (c, c^*) act on V^d as mutually adjacent Leonard pairs.*

Proof. Abbreviate $V = V^d$. By Lemma 8.3 each of the pairs (a, a^*), (b, b^*), (c, c^*) acts on V as a Leonard pair. We show that these Leonard pairs are mutually adjacent. We start by showing the first two are adjacent.

By assumption v_0, v_1 are linear independent so they form a basis for V^1. Let e, f, h denote the corresponding Chevalley basis from Lemma 8.1. Observe that $a = h$. We write each of a^*, b, b^* as a linear combination of e, f, h and consider the corresponding coefficients. For a^*, the coefficient of e (resp. f) is nonzero; otherwise v_1 (resp. v_0) is an eigenvector of a^* and therefore is a scalar multiple of w_0 or w_1. For b, the coefficient of e is nonzero since v_1 is not an eigenvector of b and the coefficient of f is zero since v_0 is an eigenvector of b. For b^*, the coefficient of e is zero since v_1 is an eigenvector of b^* and the coefficient of f is nonzero since v_0 is not an eigenvector of b^*. Now consider the basis for V with respect to which the matrices representing e, f, h are given by (14) and (15). By our above comments, with respect to this basis a is diagonal, a^* is irreducible tridiagonal, b is upper bidiagonal, and b^* is lower bidiagonal. Let $V_0, V_1, \ldots, V_d$ denote the decomposition of V induced by this basis. Then $V_0, V_1, \ldots, V_d$ is a-standard and UL-split for (b, b^*). Observe the decomposition $V_d, V_{d-1}, \ldots, V_0$ is a-standard and LU-split for (b, b^*). Given a decomposition of V that is a-standard, this decomposition is either $V_0, V_1, \ldots, V_d$ or $V_d, V_{d-1}, \ldots, V_0$. In either case, this decomposition is split for (b, b^*). We have now shown that each a-standard decomposition of V is split for (b, b^*). In a similar fashion, we find that each a^*-standard decomposition of V is split for (b, b^*). Now each decomposition of V that is standard for (a, a^*) is split for (b, b^*). Therefore (a, a^*) and (b, b^*) satisfy Lemma 4.1(*ii*). Applying Definition 4.3, we find the actions of (a, a^*) and (b, b^*) on V are adjacent Leonard pairs.

The proofs that (a, a^*) is adjacent to (c, c^*) and (b, b^*) is adjacent to (c, c^*) are similar and are left to the reader. ∎

9 Leonard Pairs With Arithmetic Eigenvalue And Dual Eigenvalue Sequences

In this section we will show that if (A, A^*) is a Leonard pair on V with arithmetic eigenvalue and dual eigenvalue sequences, then there exist Leonard pairs (B, B^*) and (C, C^*) on V such that (A, A^*), (B, B^*) and (C, C^*) are mutually adjacent. Before we present our result, we will first discuss the notion of an *affine transformation* of a Leonard pair.

Let (A, A^*) denote a Leonard pair on V. Let $\alpha, \beta, \alpha^*, \beta^*$ denote scalars in $\mathbb{K}$ such that $\alpha \neq 0$, $\alpha^* \neq 0$. One easily verifies that

$$(\alpha A + \beta I, \alpha^* A^* + \beta^* I) \tag{19}$$

is a Leonard pair on V. We call (19) an *affine transformation* of (A, A^*). Observe that (A, A^*) and (19) have the same eigenspace and dual eigenspace decompositions. Therefore a Leonard pair on V is adjacent to (A, A^*) if and only if it is adjacent to (19). Let θ_i (resp. θ^*_i) $(0 \leq i \leq d)$ denote an eigenvalue sequence (resp. dual eigenvalue sequence) of (A, A^*).

Then $\alpha\theta_i + \beta$ (resp. $\alpha^*\theta^*_i + \beta^*$) $(0 \le i \le d)$ is an eigenvalue sequence (resp. dual eigenvalue sequence) of (19).

We now present our third main result.

Theorem 9.1 *Let (A, A^*) denote a Leonard pair on V with an arithmetic eigenvalue sequence and an arithmetic dual eigenvalue sequence. Then there exist Leonard pairs (B, B^*) and (C, C^*) on V such that (A, A^*), (B, B^*) and (C, C^*) are mutually adjacent.*

Proof. Let $d = \dim V - 1$. Applying an affine transformation to (A, A^*) if necessary, we can assume that $d-2i$ $(0 \le i \le d)$ is an eigenvalue sequence and a dual eigenvalue sequence of (A, A^*). We will first show that there exist $a, a^* \in sl_2(\mathbb{K})$ satisfying the equivalent conditions (i)–(iii) of Lemma 8.2 such that the action of a, a^* on V^d is a Leonard pair isomorphic to (A, A^*).

By [10, Example 5.13], we see that (A, A^*) is of Krawtchouk type; now by [10, Theorem 9.1, Theorem 9.3], there exists a scalar $p \in \mathbb{K}$ $(p \ne 0, p \ne 1)$ and there exists a basis for V with respect to which the matrices representing A and A^* are

$$A : \mathrm{diag}(d, d-2, \ldots, -d), \tag{20}$$

$$A^* : \left(\begin{array}{cccccc} \alpha_0 & \beta_0 & & & & \mathbf{0} \\ \gamma_1 & \alpha_1 & \beta_1 & & & \\ & \gamma_2 & \cdot & \cdot & & \\ & & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \\ & & & \cdot & \cdot & \beta_{d-1} \\ \mathbf{0} & & & & \gamma_d & \alpha_d \end{array}\right), \tag{21}$$

where $\alpha_i = (1-2p)(d-2i)$, $\beta_i = 2p(d-i)$, and $\gamma_i = 2(1-p)i$.

Let e, f, h denote a Chevalley basis for $sl_2(\mathbb{K})$. Define $a = h$ and $a^* = (1-2p)h + 2pe + 2(1-p)f$. Notice that a, a^* satisfy Lemma 8.2(iii), so by Lemma 8.3, (a, a^*) acts as a Leonard pair on V^d. Consider the basis for V^d with respect to which the matrices representing e, f, h are given in (14) and (15). With respect to this basis the matrices representing a and a^* are given in (20) and (21) respectively. It is now apparent that the Leonard pair (A, A^*) is isomorphic to the Leonard pair (a, a^*) on V^d. Because of this, it suffices to show the Leonard pair (a, a^*) on V^d is part of three mutually adjacent Leonard pairs. From Lemma 8.2(i), there exist pairwise linearly independent vectors v_0, v_1, w_0, w_1 in V^1 such that

$$\begin{aligned} av_0 &= v_0, & av_1 &= -v_1, \\ a^*w_0 &= w_0, & a^*w_1 &= -w_1. \end{aligned}$$

Define $b, b^*, c, c^* \in sl_2(\mathbb{K})$ as in Definition 8.4. By Theorem 8.5, (a, a^*), (b, b^*), (c, c^*) act on V^d as mutually adjacent Leonard pairs. The result follows. ▮

Acknowledgements: This paper was written while the author was a graduate student at the University of Wisconsin-Madison. The author would like to thank his advisor Paul Terwilliger for his many valuable ideas and suggestions. The author would also like to thank Brian Curtin, Eric Egge and Arlene Pascasio for giving this manuscript a careful reading and offering many valuable suggestions.

References

[1] T. Ito, K. Tanabe, and P. Terwilliger. Some algebra related to P- and Q-polynomial association schemes. *Codes and Association Schemes (Piscataway NJ, 1999)*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence RI, 2000.

[2] T. Ito and P. Terwilliger. The shape of a tridiagonal pair. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra,* **188** (2004) 145–160.

[3] P. Terwilliger. Two linear transformations each tridiagonal with respect to an eigenbasis of the other. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **330** (2001) 149–203.

[4] P. Terwilliger. Leonard pairs from 24 points of view. *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **32** (2) (2002) 827–888.

[5] P. Terwilliger. Two linear transformations each tridiagonal with respect to an eigenbasis of the other; the TD-D and the LB-UB canonical form. *J. Algebra,* submitted.

[6] P. Terwilliger. Two relations that generalize the q-Serre relations and the Dolan-Grady relations. *Proceedings of Nagoya 1999 Workshop on Physics and Combinatorics (Nagoya, Japan 1999).* World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, Providence RI, 2000.

[7] P. Terwilliger. Introduction to Leonard pairs. OPSFA Rome 2001. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **153** (2) (2003) 463–475.

[8] P. Terwilliger. Introduction to Leonard pairs and Leonard systems. *Surikaisekikenkyusho Kokyuroku,* (1109):67–79, 1999. Algebraic combinatorics (Kyoto, 1999).

[9] P. Terwilliger. Two linear transformations each tridiagonal with respect to an eigenbasis of the other; comments on the split decomposition. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, to appear.

[10] P. Terwilliger. Two linear transformations each tridiagonal with respect to an eigenbasis of the other; comments on the parameter array. *Geometric and Algebraic Combinatorics 2, Oisterwijk, The Netherlands 2002*, accepted.

[11] P. Terwilliger. Leonard pairs and the q-Racah polynomials. *Linear Algebra Appl.,* **387** (2004) 235–276.

Brian Hartwig
Department of Mathematics
University of Wisconsin
480 Lincoln Drive
Madison, Wisconsin, 53706, USA
email: hartwig@math.wisc.edu